



The Manitoba Pharmaceutical Association

187 ST. MARY'S ROAD, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA R2H 1J2

Phone: (204) 233-1411 Fax: (204) 237-3468 E-mail: info@mpha.mb.ca

website: www.napra.ca

Regulations Discussion Document: Recommendation of the Registration Committee

The Registration Committee of the Manitoba Pharmaceutical Association met on February 28th, 2007 to discuss the draft Regulations Discussion Documents as referred by Council.

Present:

Tracey Furst,
Nancy Kleimen
Lavern Vercaigne
Todd Mereniuk
Pat Trozzo, Chair

Ronald Guse, staff

The following recommendations were made:

- Remove the comma after “within a pharmacy” in the definition of health care setting.
- Section 7(1) Change the name from “clinical pharmacist” to “pharmacist extended practice”. **Reason:** The clinical pharmacist name is already used in practice and may be tough to limit. Extended Practice is a term already used and recognized for a similar practice extension with nurses.
- Section 8(2) A person stops being a student when they become an intern, but they may still be enrolled in an education program. **Reason:** inconsistent
- Do all individuals need to apply on their own, or can MPhA get a list from the university? **Reason:** Ease of registration
- Section 13(3) change b) to allow a Part B pharmacist to dispense medication where a part A pharmacist has approved the prescription under section 50(d), but does not allow the Part B pharmacist to do the patient counselling. **Reason:** Dispensing is the provision of the drug to the patient, their agent or on delivery. Dispensing is not really a high risk event like approving the filling, filling the prescription and counselling.
- Section 14(1) during the time a Part B pharmacist is meeting the requirements under b(i) in order to convert to a part A pharmacist, the pharmacist should be considered an intern. Also this section should be for pharmacists converting that have been in Part B for less than four years. Under section b(ii), this section would be for pharmacists that have been in Part B for greater than 4 years. **Reason:** Functioning as an intern gives the Part B pharmacist some latitude in their training, as determined by their supervising pharmacist and may be just a

matter of confirming their ability through meeting the hours required. The division of below or above four years would give Part B pharmacists a clearer understanding of what will be required of them after a four year period and would depend on what type of practice they did in the time they were part B.

- Section 52(2) should all technicians be required to take a “national exam” before they are qualified? **Reason:** Even though pharmacists graduate from an accredited Faculty of Pharmacy, they still need to write PEBCs before getting licensed.
- Section 69 (2) The Committee agrees with this section, but suggests that it be limited to where the location has access to enter information in DPIN. **Reason:** In times of DPIN failure, or with other professional dispensing medication as permitted under Part 10, this might prevent a patient from getting their medication.
- Part 11 would need to be re-written to change “clinical pharmacist” to “pharmacist extended practice”. **Reason:** If the above change is made.
- Section 82 Is a list needed for the specialties? Or can we just say the specialties as approved by Council. If we do need a list look into what the Royal College of Physicians has for “specialties”. **Reason:** Placing a list in the regulations would likely result in some areas being omitted and we would be constantly seeking regulation changes.
- Section 93(2) the wording is likely meant to have “patient administered” removed. **Reason:** The statement basically the same as 93(1). If this is changed, is it permitted under the act?
- Section 95(4) needs to be reworded and made clearer, such as:

A member who orders and receives the results of a screening or diagnostic test that:

- a) reveals medical issues requiring medical attention, or,
- b) the member is not competent to interpret

must promptly forward the results to a health professional responsible for the patient’s care who can interpret the results and advise the patient.

Reason: It clarifies the intent.

The Committee conducted no other business and adjourned at 9:30 pm.